

**Insights Deck** 

December 2016



### **Table of contents**

Evaluation approach

<i>01</i>	FP CAPE evaluation approach & methodology	03	<ul> <li>Evaluation findings and synthesis: Nigeria</li> <li>a) Investment portfolio &amp; theories of change</li> <li>b) Sentinel indicators</li> <li>c) Bottom-up Inquiry: SSM, PO interviews</li> <li>d) Cross-portfolio synthesis</li> </ul>
02	<ul> <li>Evaluation findings and synthesis: DRC</li> <li>a) Investment portfolio &amp; theories of change</li> <li>b) Sentinel indicators</li> <li>c) Bottom-up Inquiry: SSM, PO interviews</li> </ul>	<b>04</b>	Interactive timeline

Nigeria: findings

d) Cross-portfolio synthesis

DRC: findings



#### **FP CAPE Project**

Evaluation approach and methodology

### The purpose of FP CAPE

FP CAPE takes a complex systems look at BMGF family planning investment portfolios in Nigeria and Democratic Republic of the Congo towards achieving national mCPR goals.

#### Mechanisms of action

A clear **theory of change** identifies critical assumptions on drivers of family planning use.

By testing theorized processes, FP CAPE generates evidence on how and why each mechanism can achieve sustained change.

#### **Context & interaction**

A **portfolio-level evaluation** independently assesses family planning investments in DRC and Nigeria.

By observing how multiple activities work together, rather than focusing on individual grants, FP CAPE detects interactions and synergies between programs.

#### Design features

A **prospective design** documents change, issues, and learning concurrently with implementation. This allows FP CAPE to test critical assumptions in real time.

#### Realist, theory-based models define

and test theoretical assumptions and use realist evaluation techniques to adapt portfolio theories of change (TOC) in response to FP CAPE findings.

4

#### This presentation has a threefold purpose

present a bi-annual snapshot of FP CAPE findings from DRC and Nigeria

support BMGF reflection on their current family planning investment portfolio



## **Realist process evaluation approach**

FP CAPE collaboratively develops portfolio-level theories of change (TOC) with BMGF and grantees, making explicit critical assumptions underlying TOCs. These assumptions are then used to generate research questions and a learning agenda. The process is iterative with findings informing adjustments in the TOCs over time.



Developed in close collaboration with BMGF Program Officers and grantees in DRC and Nigeria.

#### Critical assumptions

Make explicit the critical assumptions/hypotheses underlying the assumed causal links in each TOC.

Assumptions may relate to program implementation, mechanisms of action or the wider FP context.

#### Research questions and findings

FP CAPE research questions test critical assumptions underlying the portfolio TOC.

Findings are then used to further adapt/improve the portfolio TOC moving forward. Assumptions are revised and additional research questions generated.

## **Questions shaping evaluation approach**

Evaluation	Questions shaping approach	Methods
Are expected changes happening?	<ul> <li>How are key outcomes changing/not changing across the portfolio theories of change?</li> </ul>	Sentinel indicator
How did change happen?	<ul> <li>What are the critical implementation and contextual barriers and facilitators that influence change in key outcomes across the portfolio?</li> <li>Are some components of the program more important than others in driving change?</li> <li>Are critical assumptions about how programs are expected to work and/or work together valid?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Bottom-up Inquiry:</li> <li>System Support Mapping (SSM)</li> <li>Grantee documentation review</li> <li>BMGF Program Officer (PO) interviews</li> <li>Special Study (1) on the enabling environment (design phase)</li> </ul>
Are there emergent factors that affect program implementation and success?	<ul> <li>As programs interact with and change the wider FP environment over time, what new factors/challenges emerge?</li> <li>How do underlying assumptions need to be revised?</li> </ul>	Analysis and synthesis of above methods/data
Are successful intervention models scaled up?	<ul> <li>To what extent are successful intervention models being replicated and scaled up by others?</li> <li>What factors influence whether successful interventions are replicated/ scaled up?</li> <li>How do model interventions change when they are replicated? Why?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Monitoring through sentinel indicator findings and across bottom-up methods and data collection</li> <li>Special Study (2) on scale-up (planned)</li> </ul>

### Sentinel indicators, first round

These indicators are used to monitor whether expected changes are happening within the portfolio. Sentinel indicators use primarily, but not exclusively, quantitative data.





Establish a set of baseline sentinel indicators to update on an annual or more frequent basis, depending on the indicator. Future rounds of findings will show whether expected changes are happening across the portfolio over time.

### **Bottom-up inquiry process and outcomes**



## Bottom-up inquiry: system support mapping

*System support mapping (SSM) allows us to collect data on factors of implementation and context that influence program success.* 



System support mapping (SSM) identifies BMGF grantees:



Data is collected through:

- Physical map of themes
- Audio and video session recordings for further qualitative analysis and coding

## Bottom-up inquiry: system support mapping questions





# **Evaluation findings and synthesis: DRC**

Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC)



# Investment portfolio & theories of change

Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC)

## Family planning in DRC

The DRC, as one of the lowest ranked countries in the Human Development Index (176th of 188 in 2015), faces significant challenges in providing reproductive health services. Intense projected population growth and low mCPR create pressure on government & stakeholders to better address family planning needs.



The current population is expected to almost double in size to 120 million in 2030, and to reach at least 300 million in 2100



Since 2012, the DRC Government has prioritized FP in policy, regulations, and budget



The mCPR was just under 8% among married women nationally in 2014, up slightly from just under 6% in 2007



DRC's government pledged to increase mCPR to 19% by 2020

Sources: UN HDI

DRC: findings

Interactive timeline

## DRC investment portfolio: theory of change

*FP CAPE's research questions are based on a theory of change (TOC) that defines and monitors causal pathways, starting with portfolio investments and moving to increased national mCPR. Embedded in this TOC are several critical assumptions that must hold true for the theory to be valid.* 



## DRC investment portfolio: critical assumptions

FP CAPE's research agenda is driven by explicit critical assumptions underlying the portfolio TOC

	National-level development	<ul> <li>PNSR and PNSA coordinate partners in support of national and provincial strategies</li> <li>Favorable FP policies enacted</li> <li>Effective national supply chain strategy and GIBS-MEG ensure commodity availability</li> </ul>
	Model testing	<ul> <li>Service delivery models increase quality and access to full range of services</li> <li>Learning about sexual/RH behaviors improves youth-related outcomes</li> </ul>
	Exposure and access	<ul> <li>Private sector models increase access to FP</li> <li>Adults and youth purchase marketed FP methods</li> </ul>
alloning	Scale up of successful demonstration models	<ul> <li>Improved coordination and planning will attract investment in scale up</li> <li>Strong measurement drives performance, scale up and donor coordination</li> <li>Demonstration models seen as relevant and feasible by other provinces and donors</li> </ul>
2	Increased national mCPR	<ul> <li>Model programs remain effective when scaled up by others in new contexts</li> </ul>

*nvestment Portfolio* 

comes



#### **Sentinel indicators**

Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC)

### **Sentinel indicators**

*These indicators are used to monitor whether expected changes are happening within the portfolio. Sentinel indicators use primarily, but not exclusively, quantitative data.* 





## Program demonstration models



## Scale-up & overall impact

## **Overview dashboard of sentinel indicators, DRC**

Enabling environment

# of public statements of support by government
# of national CTMP meetings held
# of organizations/partners in attendance
# of provincial CTMP created
# of distinct organizations as members
Estimated 2016 government contribution to FP services & commodities (USD)
Projected gap in FP services and commodities, in USD (2016/2020)





Scale up and overall impact

% of women not currently using a method who intend to use contraceptives in the future % of women with media exposure to FP (radio, TV) % of facilities offering at least five modern contraceptive methods, by facility type % of women who obtained current method from a pharmacy/drug shop % of public facilities with community health workers (CHW) that provide FP % of women visited by CHW for FP % of public facility stock-outs for FP, by method % of facilities offering Sayana® Press (public, private) % of modern method users using Sayana® Press % of modern method users using implants

% of women counseled on side effects

mCPR in Kinshasa and Kongo Central

# of provinces scaling up elements of demonstration models.

National mCPR

**Evaluation approach** 

Nigeria: findings

## **Enabling environment**



<b>Critical Assumptions</b>	Expected changes	Sentinel indicators	2016 result
Advocacy efforts will raise the visibility of FP	Visibility of FP is increased	# of public statements of support by government	ND
PNSR & PNSA coordinates	Donor coordination	# of national CTMP meetings held	1
partners in support of national & provincial	increased	# of organizations/partners in attendance	ND
strategies	Provincial CTMP strengthened	# of provincial CTMP created	10/26
		# of distinct organizations as members	21
Strong measurement will drive performance	Data used to make decisions	No existing indicators	ND
<i>Favorable FP policies are put in place</i>	Enabling environment improved	Estimated 2016 government contribution to FP services & commodities (USD)	\$2.5M USD
National supply chain ensures availability of commodities	Increased funding for contraceptive procurement	Projected gap in FP commodities & services, in USD (2016/2020)	\$78.3M (commodities) + \$249.7M (services) = \$327.9M TOTAL

Sources: Family Planning in the DRC website; & interviews/SSM data collection with BMGF FP grantees & analysis of effort & opportunity for FP financing gaps via communication with Perri Sutton, BMGF

**Evaluation** approach

## Enabling environment: CTMP map, DRC





#### **Demonstration models: Demand generation**



<b>Critical Assumptions</b>	Expected changes	Sentinel indicators
Demand generation demonstration models in focus provinces are	Increased exposure to FP messages in focus provinces	% of women exposed to FP messages through radio and TV (among all women and among youth)
successful	Increased intention to use FP among all women	% of all women who are not using a FP method who intend to use a method in the future
<i>Learning about sexual &amp; RH behaviors of 10-14 year olds will improve youth- related program outcomes</i>	Increased intention to use FP among youth	% of youth (15-24) who are not using a FP method who intend to use a method in the future

#### Intention to use FP





Source: PMA2020

#### **Exposure to FP messages**





Source: PMA2020

#### **Demonstration models: Service delivery**



<b>Critical Assumptions</b>	Expected changes	Sentinel indicators
Service delivery models will increase quality and access to FP services/commodities	Access to services is increased in focus provinces	<ul> <li>% of facilities offering at least five modern contraceptive methods, by facility type</li> <li>% of pharmacies/drug shops offering modern FP methods</li> <li>% of public facilities with a CHW that provides FP</li> <li>% of women visited by community health workers for FP</li> <li>% of public facility with stock-outs in the last 3 months (IUD, implant, injectable, pill)</li> </ul>
	Quality of services increased in focus provinces	<ul> <li>% of women counseled on side effects</li> </ul>
	Increased demand for Sayana® Press and Nexplanon, especially among youth	<ul> <li>% of facilities offering Sayana® Press (public, private)</li> <li>% of modern method users using Sayana® Press</li> <li>% of modern method users using implants</li> </ul>
<i>Private sector models will increase access to FP</i>	Access to FP services in the private sector increased in focus provinces	<ul> <li>% of private facilities offering at least five modern contraceptive methods</li> <li>% of pharmacies/drug shops offering modern FP methods</li> </ul>
Adults and youth will be willing and able to purchase socially marketed products	Increased private sector market share	<ul> <li>% of women who obtained their most recent method from a pharmacy or drug shop/kiosk</li> </ul>







#### Percent of pharmacies/drug shops offering modern contraceptive methods, Kinshasa and Kongo Central







\*Among women currently using a modern method



#### Percent of public facilities with CHW that provide FP, Kinshasa and Kongo Central



Source: PMA2020





■ 2013/R1\_KIN ■ 2014/R2\_KIN ■ 2015/R3\_KIN ■ 2016/R4\_KIN ■ 2016/R4\_KC

Source: PMA2020

Percent of women visited by CHW and talked about FP,

#### Access to commodities



#### Percent of public facilities with stockouts by method in the last three months, Kinshasa



#### Percent of public facilities with stockouts by method in the last three months, Kongo Central



#### Access to Sayana® Press





## Use of Sayana® Press and implants



Percent of modern method users using Sayana® Press among all women and youth, Kinshasa and Kongo Central



#### Percent of modern method users using Implants among all women and youth, Kinshasa and Kongo Central



Source: PMA2020

### **Quality of services**



Percent of women counseled on side effects among modern method users, Kinshasa and Kongo Central



Source: PMA2020

### **Expected changes**



If the theory of change is valid, the overall impact of portfolio of activities should result in increased mCPR in targeted provinces leading to scale-up of models and, finally, increased national mCPR.

*mCPR increases in focus provinces* 

> Demonstration models are scaled up

#### mCPR increases nationally

### Scale up and BMGF expansion



Jord-Ub? \_Haut-Ue Sud Tshopo Equate Tshuapa Nord-Kivu Mai-Ndombe Maniem สินd-Kivu Sankur Kinshasa Kwilu Kasai Kongo Central Kwang Haut-Loma **BMGF** programming province

#### Service delivery

- DKT scaled up Sayana® Press model in Kinshasa to Kongo Central
- ACQUAL 2 expanding activities to Kongo Central



#### **Demand generation**

 JHU under ACQUAL 2 expanding activities to target police/military populations and into Kongo Central



#### Enabling environment

APF & ACQUAL2 scale up of CTMPs in 10 provinces

BMGF programming province BMGF expansion province
### Scale up and impact, mCPR DRC





### Enabling environment DRC

#### Did expected changes happen?



### Enabling environment

### 01

Development of CTMPs progressing in multiple provinces with a diverse group of organizations engaged as members

### 02

Major projected gaps in funding for FP commodities & services 2016-2020

### 03

Gaps in data on other aspects of the enabling environment – special study early next year aims to fill some of those gaps



#### Did expected changes happen?



## Program demonstration models: demand generation

### 01

Relatively strong intention to use methods (especially among youth) but no consistent trend over recent years

### 02

As expected, much higher levels of exposure to mass media FP messages in Kinshasa as compared to Kongo Central

### 03

Lack of data on social norms

02



#### *Did expected changes happen?*



### **Program demonstration models:** service delivery

Provision of multiple methods in public sector facilities in Kinshasa is quite high but low in private facilities. Low in KC

The majority of pharmacies in FP but may be dropping. Lower in KC but still over 50%. Women and vouth are increasingly obtaining methods from pharmacies

### 03

Low base for quality of care, Kinshasa provide as measured by counseling

### *(*)4

Sayana® Press not widely available at baseline except in some public facilities in Kinshasa. Relatively low proportion of users using SP and implants at baseline, esp. among youth

### 05

Recent increased Low levels of problems with stock outs of most methods a CHW

#### 06 women reporting exposure to a FP message through

#### *Did expected changes happen?*



Scale up & overall impact

### 01

BMGF funded expansion in Kongo Central is the only documented scale up, however this is to be expected given that programs have just started

### 02

Recent trend upwards in mCPR is encouraging

Notable that KC has comparable mCPR to Kinshasa although BMGF investments are recent





# Bottom-up inquiry: SSM, PO interviews

Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC)

### **DRC BMGF PO interviews: notable changes**

*Given the BMGF family planning investment portfolio in the DRC, the Program Officer identified notable changes and updates to the portfolio.* 



#### FP environment in DRC

- Strong engagement with government leaders at national and provincial levels during consensus meetings
- Strong engagement of other donors (World Bank, UNFPA, USAID and potential funds from Norway Forestry Ministry)

#### Policy and government shifts

- Release of the National Adolescent Health Plan
- Release of the financing gap report shared with the Prime Minister to coordinate financing efforts

#### Scale up and new investments (proposed, not final)

- JHPIEGO: post-partum/post abortion Family Planning
- DKT to receive new grant for procurement of contraceptives
- World Bank to procure funds through GFF to fill the financing gap
- Norway Forestry Ministry potential funds to fill the financing gap
- New grant for Integrated Supply Chain on hold pending developments

**Evaluation approach** 

### **DRC BMGF PO interviews: facilitators and barriers**

*The Program Officer discussed key factors that either facilitate or hinder BMGF grantee success in the DRC.* 

<b>Fa</b>	ncilitators	<ul> <li>Dynamic local staff with strong leadership able to work in an unstable political environment</li> <li>Strong engagement of other donors in scaling up existing projects and adding new grants</li> <li>Data availability drives accountability and performance</li> <li>Commodity Gap Analysis helps coordinate efforts among stakeholders and donors to drive investment</li> <li>Positive trends in mCPR in Kinshasa</li> <li>Strong engagement of other donors</li> </ul>
	Barriers	<ul> <li>Political unrest in the country makes FP issues less compelling and affects the scope of work of grantees</li> <li>Commodity shortage</li> <li>Conflicts between stakeholder agendas: Overlapping interests between areas with free products (Expand FP) and areas where PSI and DKT are selling products</li> </ul>

DRC: findings

### DRC SSM findings: enabling environment



Main Activities	<i>Facilitators</i>	<ul> <li>Most cited</li> <li>Financial and technical support from Advance FP and government stakeholders</li> <li>Government's Decree creating CTMP</li> <li>Government willingness to favor FP</li> <li>Other key facilitators</li> <li>Government commitments (Declaration of Addis-Ababa, Letter of support from the Prime Minister)</li> </ul>	Desired changes
Creation of CTMP at provincial level Participation in the		<ul> <li>CAFCO implication in advocacy activities</li> <li>Government commitment to the Maputo International Agreement</li> </ul>	Vote of a new law on RH/FP
design of the new law on Reproductive Health/Family Planning Budgeting procurement of contraceptive at national level	Barriers	<ul> <li>Most cited</li> <li>Existence of a budget line for the procurement of medicines is barrier to interest in separate line item for the procurement of contraceptives</li> <li>Low percent of budget allocated to the health sector</li> <li>Existence of the colonial law on RH/FP</li> <li>Lack of consensus between congressmen on issues related to RH/FP</li> <li>Political unrest</li> </ul>	Increase mCPR

DRC: findings

### DRC SSM findings: data use and dissemination

Most cited

#### Main Activities



#### Desired changes

Country-wide scale up

Increase funds

Add monitoring studies to assess changes attributable to the interventions over time

> For next rounds: [1] Include men [2] Target adolescents (15-19 years)

<i>Facilitators</i>	<ul> <li>Previous experience with similar projects</li> <li>Good collaboration with external institutions (Consortium JHU, Tulane, KSHP)</li> <li>Local staff familiar with the country contexts</li> <li>Other key facilitators</li> <li>Acceptance by local administrative authorities</li> <li>Participants adherence to the survey</li> <li>Commitment of fieldworkers (resident enumerators)</li> </ul>
Barriers	<ul> <li>Most cited</li> <li>Political and security unrest</li> <li>Results limited to two provinces</li> <li>Reluctance of participants on sensitive questions</li> <li>Other key barriers</li> <li>Underestimation of the project budget</li> <li>Difficulties accessing certain geographic areas</li> <li>Distance between some fieldworkers and coordination team</li> </ul>

Large sample required

### GEAS PMA 2020

- Research on FP market composition
- FP data collection activities

#### Evaluation approach

DRC: findings

#### Nigeria: findings

Local expertise available from KSPH\_ASE/PSI

### **DRC SSM findings: demand generation**

*Facilitators* 





- Production of media communication campaigns
- Youth song competitions related to SSRAJ
- Organization of Special promotional Days for sales of FP products
- Display of FP billboards especially in military and police camps
- Training of youth ambassadors

#### **Most cited**

- Existence of other technical/financial support
- Good collaboration with other public and private partners (PNSR, PNSA, RTNC, SANRU, commercial companies)
  - Available local expertise
- Othe
- Other key facilitators
  - Authorization granted by military and police authorities
  - Effective marketing approach
  - High motivation of youth ambassadors

#### **Most cited**

- Overlapping activities between partners
- Political unrest
- Insufficient financial resources

#### Other key barriers

 $\mathbf{X}$ 

**Barriers** 

- Difficulty accessing military camps despite granted authorizations
- Mismatch between training duration and content
- Misperception spread by non-program service providers
- Sociocultural barriers (myths around sexuality and contraceptive)



#### Desired changes

Expand the project nationwide

Increase clinics and community service providers

Reduce youth ambassadors and increase the duration of training

Involve all other personnel of the clinics in the topic

Evaluation approach

DRC: findings

#### Nigeria: findings

### DRC SSM findings: service delivery

#### Main Activities



- Contraceptive provision for ACQUAL II
- FP service delivery and commodity supply in clinics and community service providers
- Training in FP clinics and community service providers
- Supply of tools, materials and pedagogical supports for training
- Supervision of activities
- Monitoring and evaluation

#### **Most cited**

- Trained staff in clinics and community service provider available
- Good collaboration with national programs and health zones
- Support from other partners



**Barriers** 

*Facilitators* 

- Other key facilitators
- CTMP effectiveness
- Standardized supervision guidelines available
- Budget available

#### Most cited

- Sociopolitical unrest
- Lack of training curriculum
- Insufficient budget
- Overlapping activities

#### Other key barriers

- Trained personnel unstable
- Sociocultural and religious barriers
- Lack of storage facility
  - Lack of training in commodity management



#### Desired changes

Expand the project nationwide

Create a pool of trainers for the army and police

Increase duration of training programs

Design tools to improve data management

Increase funding

Increase contraceptive supply

DRC: findings

### **Cross-portfolio findings: facilitators**

When we look at program officer interviews and the system support mapping (SSM) findings, we find alignment on two out of the five most cited facilitating factors.

Facilitators most cited (across all activity areas)	Program Officer interviews	Grantees
Local expertise/leadership	+	+
Existence of other technical/financial support/strong engagement of other donors	+	+
Data availability	+	
Trained staff in clinics and community service providers available		+
CTMP effectiveness		+

### **Cross-portfolio findings: barriers**

When we look at program officer interviews and the system support mapping (SSM) findings, we find alignment on two out of the six most cited barriers.

Barriers most	cited (across all activity areas	s) Program C intervie	(Tantees
Political unrest in cou	ntry	+	+
Overlapping activities partners/stakeholders	and conflicts in agendas betwee	en 🕂	+
Insufficient financial re	esources		+
Commodity shortage		+	
Lack of training curric	ulum on commodity managemer	nt 🗾	+
Data results only in tv	vo provinces		+
Evaluation approach	DRC: findings	Nigeria: findings	Interactive timeline



Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC)



*FP CAPE baseline data as related to DRC portfolio TOC critical assumptions. Future findings will allow for observations of change over time.* 

Critical Assumptions	Are expected changes happening? (Sentinel indicators)	How and why? (Bottom-up inquiry methods)
<i>PNSR and PNSA coordinate partners in support of national and provincial strategies</i>	<ul> <li>CTMPs progressing in multiple provinces with a diverse group of organizations engaged as members</li> <li>(=) Lack of data on gov't capacity improvements &amp; other enabling environment measures (planned for special study)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Facilitator: CTMP increased effectiveness for service delivery</li> <li>Facilitator: strong gov't engagement noted by PO &amp; grantees</li> </ul>
<i>Favorable FP policies enacted (regulatory &amp; budget)</i>	<ul> <li>Large projected gap in financing for FP commodities &amp; services.</li> <li>(=) Gov't contribution estimated but unclear data on actual disbursement amounts.</li> </ul>	Facilitator: release of the National Adolescent Health Plan (NAHP)
<i>Effective national supply chain strategy and GIBS-MEG ensure availability</i>	Major projected gaps in funding for FP commodities & services 2016-2020	Barrier: commodity shortages cited by POs

Note: For sentinel indicator results, the 🗹 indicates an overall positive trend, and the 🗵 indicates a negative trend and (=) indicates a neutral trend or missing data. For bottom-up inquiry, 🗹 is a facilitating factor and 🗵 is a barrier cited.

Eva	alu	oti	on	an	o r	00	ch
LV	alu	au	ULL	ap	$\rho_{1}$	Ja	GH



Program demonstration models

<b>Critical Assumptions</b>	Are expected changes happening? (Sentinel indicators)	How and why? DRC (Bottom-up inquiry methods)
Service delivery models increase quality and access to full range services	<ul> <li>Access to methods is better in the public sector. Lower in KC compared to Kinshasa, as expected</li> <li>Increased problems with stock outs of most methods</li> <li>Low levels of exposure of women to FP messages from CHWs</li> <li>SP not widely available except some public facilities in Kinshasa; relatively low proportion of users using SP and implants at baseline, especially among youth (generally &lt;12%)</li> <li>Low base for quality of care, as measured by counseling</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Facilitator: availability of trained staff (clinics and community-based)</li> <li>Barrier: lack of commodity management training</li> <li>Barrier: overlapping service delivery activities have created conflicting agendas</li> </ul>
Learning about sexual/RH behaviors improves youth-related outcomes	<ul> <li>Relatively strong intention to use methods (especially among youth) but no consistent trend observed over recent years</li> <li>(=) Lack of currently available data on social norms for youth</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Barrier: socio-cultural &amp; religious issues</li> <li>Barrier: too many youth ambassadors, not enough training</li> </ul>
<i>Private sector models increase access to FP</i>	<ul> <li>Majority of Kinshasa pharmacies provide FP, but may be dropping. Lower in KC, but still over 50%</li> <li>Women, youth increasingly obtaining methods from pharmacies</li> </ul>	(=) No related barriers or facilitating factors
Adults and youth purchase socially marketed FP methods	(=) Lack of data on socially marketed methods specifically	Barrier: overlapping service delivery activities with conflicting agendas; difficult to sell social marketed methods when they are available at a reduced price or for free

Note: For sentinel indicator results, the 🗹 indicates an overall positive trend, and the 🗵 indicates a negative trend and (=) indicates a neutral trend or missing data. For bottom-up inquiry, 🗹 is a facilitating factor and 🗵 is a barrier cited.

Evaluation approach



<b>Critical Assumptions</b>	Are expected changes happening? (Sentinel indicators)	How and why? (Bottom-up inquiry methods)
Improved coordination and planning will attract investment in scale up	<ul> <li>Establishment of CTMPs a positive indicator of increased coordination as well as diverse group of stakeholders involved</li> <li>(=) However, a lack of other measures on coordination, planning and capacity to attract investment</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Facilitator: gov't and partner coordination cited by both grantees and POs</li> <li>Barrier: overlapping/conflicting project agendas</li> </ul>
<i>Strong measurement drives performance, scale-up and donor coordination</i>	<ul> <li>(=) Lack of data/measures on data used for decision-making. Planned to address in Special Study 1</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Image: Second State Sta</li></ul>
<i>Demonstration models seen as relevant and feasible by other provinces and donors</i>	<ul> <li>(=) No examples of scale-up of demonstration models by other donors. However, still early in the grant cycle for many of the investments</li> </ul>	(=) No related barriers or facilitating factors
<i>Model programs remain effective when scaled up by others in new contexts</i>	(=) Not yet tested	(=) No related barriers or facilitating factors

Note: For sentinel indicator results, the 🗹 indicates an overall positive trend, and the 🗵 indicates a negative trend and (=) indicates a neutral trend or missing data. For bottom-up inquiry, 🗹 is a facilitating factor and 🗵 is a barrier cited.

**Evaluation approach** 



# **Evaluation findings and synthesis**

Nigeria



### Investment portfolio & theories of change (TOC) *Nigeria*

### Family planning in Nigeria

With a rapidly growing population (projected to 400 million in 2050) and stagnating contraceptive prevalence rate (CPR), Nigeria has immense reproductive health needs. Government recognition of the problem has created renewed commitments to family planning policies.



Nigeria's government aims to increase the CPR to 36% by 2018

In 2011, the Nigeria Federal Ministry of Health (FMOH) made all FP commodities free at public facilities





In 2013, only 10% of currently married women aged 15-49 were using modern contraceptive methods, a small increase from 2003.

Sources: 2013 Nigeria Demographic and Health Survey (NDHS), 2015 United Nations World Population Prospects, 2014 Government of Nigeria Family Planning Blueprint

### Nigeria investment portfolio: theory of change

FP CAPE's research questions are based off a theory of change that defines and monitors causal linkages, starting with portfolio investments and moving to increased national mCPR.



### Nigeria investment portfolio: critical assumptions

FP CAPE's research agenda is driven by explicit critical assumptions underlying the portfolio TOC

Investment Portfolio	National-level development	<ul> <li>Advocacy efforts raise the visibility of FP nationally and at the state levels</li> <li>Targeted support to FMOH/SMOH strengthens donor coordination and CIPs</li> <li>Strong measurement drives performance</li> </ul>
Inv	Model testing	<ul> <li>Demand generation models result in large scale social norm change</li> <li>Service delivery models increase quality and access to services</li> <li>Introduction of new methods generate new demand for services, especially among youth</li> </ul>
Outcomes	Scale-up of successful demonstration models	<ul> <li>Contributing to national conversation on FP enables successful adoption of models</li> <li>Strong CIPs and donor coordination support model scale-up</li> <li>High quality data influences scale-up decisions</li> <li>Demonstration models seen as relevant and feasible models by other states</li> </ul>
	Increased national mCPR	<ul> <li>Model programs remain effective when scaled up by others in new contexts</li> </ul>
Evel	uction approach	Nizerie, findinge

Evaluation approach

DRC: finding



### **Sentinel indicators**

Nigeria

### **Sentinel indicators for Nigeria**

These indicators are used to monitor whether expected changes are happening within the portfolio. Sentinel indicators use primarily, but not exclusively, quantitative data.





## Program demonstration models



## Scale-up & overall impact

### **Overview dashboard of sentinel indicators, Nigeria**

Enabling environment

# of public statements of support by FP Champions

# of reproductive health technical working group meetings held

# of organizations/partners in attendance

# of CIPs initiated/completed and where

# of CIP strategies implemented by SMOH

% in budgetary releases to FP at state and national levels



### Program demonstration models



Scale-up and overall impact

% of women not currently using a method who intend to use contraceptives in the future (aged 15-49, youth 15-24)

% of women with media exposure to FP (radio, TV) % of facilities offering five or more FP methods (public, private, pharmacies/drug shops: offer any method)

% of public facilities with community health workers offering FP

% of women visited by community health workers for  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{FP}}$ 

% of women who obtained their most recent method from a pharmacy or drug shop/kiosk

% of public facilities with stock-outs of FP (IUD, implant, injectable, pill)

% of women counseled on side effects

% of Sayana® Press users that are new users of FP

mCPR in Kaduna and Lagos

# of states scaling up elements of demonstration projects, and where

National mCPR

### **Enabling Environment**



63

<b>Critical Assumptions</b>	Expected changes	Sentinel indicators	2016 resul
Advocacy efforts will raise the visibility of FP nationally and at the state level	FP visibility increases	# of public statements of support by FP Champions	ND
Targeted support to FMOH/SMOH will strengthen donor coordination and costed	Donor coordination increases	# of RH technical working group meetings held	3
implementation plans (CIPs)		# of organizations/partners in attendance	ND
	CIPs strengthened	# of CIPs initiated/# completed and where	14/36
		# of CIP strategies implemented by SMOH	6
<i>Strong measurement will drive performance</i>	Data used to make decisions	No existing indicators	ND
	Increased Gov't financial resources for FP	FP as a % of the national health budget	0.319%
: Grantee documentation	·	·	:
Evaluation approach	DRC: findings	Nigeria: findings Interactive time	eline

### **Costed implementation plans (CIP)**





DRC: findings

Nigeria: findings

### National family planning budget



Changes in national FP budget as a percentage of the overall Nigerian health budget.



# State level FP budget allocation (in Naira ¥ & USD \$)





Source: Grantee documentation Note: Conversion rate 1USD/300 Naira

				66
Evaluation approach	DRC: findings	Nigeria: findings	Interactive timeline	

### **Demonstration models: Demand generation**



<b>Critical Assumptions</b>	Expected changes	Sentinel indicators
Demonstration models will result in large scale social norms change in focus states	Increased exposure to FP messages in focus states	% of women exposed to FP messages through radio and TV (among all women and among youth)
	Increased intention to use FP	% of all women who are not using a FP method who intend to use a method in the future
		% of youth (15-24) who are not using a FP method who intend to use a method in the future
	Social norms change in focus states	ND

### Intention to use contraceptives





### Media exposure to FP programs



Percent of women exposed to FP messages through radio and TV, Lagos

Percent of women exposed to FP messages through radio and TV, Kaduna



Source: PMA2020

### **Demonstration models: Service delivery**



<b>Critical Assumptions</b>	Expected changes	Sentinel indicators
Service delivery models will increase quality and access to FP services/commodities	Access to services is increased in focus states	<ul> <li>% of facilities offering at least five modern contraceptive methods, by facility type</li> <li>% of public facilities with a CHW that provides FP</li> <li>% of women visited by community health workers for FP</li> <li>% of pharmacies/drug shops offering modern FP methods</li> <li>% of women who obtained their most recent method from a pharmacy or drug shop</li> <li>% of public facility with stock-outs in the last 3 months, by method</li> </ul>
	Quality of services increased in focus states	<ul> <li>% of women counseled on side effects</li> </ul>
Introducing a new method (Sayana® Press) will create new demand for services, especially among youth	Increased demand for Sayana® Press, especially among youth	<ul> <li>% of Sayana® Press users that are new users of FP among users ages 15-49 and among youth ages 15-24.</li> </ul>

### Access to services





Source: PMA2020

### Access to services



### Percent of pharmacies/drug shops offering modern contraceptive methods, Lagos and Kaduna


### Access to services





### Access to services



Percent of women who obtained most recent method from pharmacy or drug shop\*, Lagos Percent of women who obtained most recent method from pharmacy or drug shop\*, Kaduna



Source: PMA2020

\*Among women currently using a modern method

### Access to commodities



#### Percent of public facilities with stock-outs by method in the last three months by method, Lagos



#### Percent of public facilities with stock-outs by method in the last three months by method, Kaduna



## **Quality of services**



### Percent of women counseled on side effects, Lagos

Percent of women counseled on side effects, Kaduna



### New users of Sayana® Press



Percent of Sayana® Press users that are new users of FP, Lagos 2016\* 40 35 29.0 30 25 20 15 9.0 10 5 0 All Women Youth

Source: DKT/UCSF

\*Note: Not based on a representative sample.

77

## **Expected changes**



If the theory of change is valid, the overall impact of portfolio of activities should result in increased mCPR in targeted states leading to scale-up of models and, finally, increased national mCPR.

*mCPR increases in focus states* 

> Demonstration models are scaled up

> > mCPR increases nationally

### Scale up and BMGF Expansion



 FMOH considers adopting NURHI Get It Together logo as the umbrella logo for FP activities in Nigeria

Enabling

environment

- Lagos SMOH adopted NURHI's enabling environment model in the state's 10 LGAs
- NURHI provided TA to establish RH working group and Primary Health Care board in Ovo state

Bayelsa

Rivers

Akwa

lbom

Kebbi

Kwara

Оуо

Oqun

Lagos

Scale-up/expansion states

Nigeria: findings

### Scale up and impact, mCPR Nigeria





Source: NDHS; PMA2020

80

## Insights on Nigeria sentinel indicators

# Enabling environment

#### *Did expected changes happen?*



### Enabling environment

Development of CIPS in process in multiple states

### 02

Recent increases in share of national health budget allocated for FP

Kaduna State largest level of dedicated FP budget as compared to Oyo & Lagos

### 03

Gaps in data on other aspects of the enabling environment – special study early next year aims to fill some of those gaps

NIGERIA

# **Insights on Nigeria sentinel indicators**



#### Did expected changes happen?



# Program demonstration models: demand generation

### 01

Intention to use methods increasing recently in focus states but still low in Kaduna and moderate in Lagos

### 02

Exposure to FP messages on radio and TV already high in Lagos prior to NURHI 2 expansion.

#### In Kaduna, levels of exposure remain the same or are slightly declining.

### 03

Lack of data on social norms a gap

# **Insights on Nigeria sentinel indicators**



#### Did expected changes happen?



# Program demonstration models: service delivery

both Kaduna

and Lagos

#### 01 Provision of multi

Provision of multiple methods in Lagos is high for public sector facilities but low in private facilities.

Provision is lower in Kaduna

*OZ* Reach of CHWs M for FP is low in u

### *O3* Moderate proportion of users obtain methods

users obtain methods from pharmacies, with youth more likely to use pharmacies. Higher in Lagos than Kaduna.

Trend over time is inconsistent, although pharmacy/drug shop share may be dropping over time

### 04

Moderate base for quality of care in Kaduna as measured by counseling. Quality of care is weaker in Lagos.

Stock outs are generally modest but higher for implants and in Kaduna

### 05

Lack of representative data on Sayana® Press.

SP users surveyed primarily past users of other methods (switchers) rather than new users

**Evaluation approach** 

DRC: finding

## **Insights on NIGERIA sentinel indicators**



*Did expected changes happen?* 



Scale up & overall impact

### *01*

Already some evidence of scale up of at least some elements of demonstration models including by FMOH, SMOH, and UNFPA.

States where scale up is happening tend to be states that are also making progress with CIPs



# Bottom-up inquiry: SSM, PO interviews

Nigeria

# Nigeria BMGF PO interviews: notable changes

*Given the BMGF family planning investment portfolio in Nigeria, Program Officers identified notable changes/updates to the portfolio.* 



#### **FP Environment in Nigeria**

- The TSU has actively provided training on CIPs in 11 states
- New leadership at the FMOH provides new opportunities to improve enabling environment for FP.
- TSU's use of data at the Track20 workshop has helped to engage new FMOH leadership and improve coordination
- Growing positive indications from Kano state as an evidence to increase interest in scaling up

#### Design and implement innovations in FP

 NURHI's innovations have caught the attention of the broader set of FP stakeholders & donors and led to scale-up in some cases

#### Work with private sector

 DKT has been working with private sector to expand contraceptive distribution in 34 out of 36 states in the country

Evaluation approach

# Nigeria BMGF PO interviews: facilitators and barriers

*Program Officers acknowledged the key factors that either facilitate or hinder BMGF grantee success in Nigeria.* 

Facilitators	<ul> <li>New FMOH leadership promises positive changes in the FP landscape</li> <li>Strong engagement of stakeholders and other donors in scaling up of projects</li> <li>Availability of data to attract donors</li> </ul>
Barriers	<ul> <li>Lack of coordination among grantees due to lack of understanding of each other's work</li> <li>Have not yet been able to build in-depth trust with other donors</li> <li>Limited timeframe and high quantity and quality of expected deliverables, which may impact the scale up</li> <li>Financial crisis</li> </ul>

# Nigeria SSM findings: enabling environment

#### Main Activities



- Build capacity of government and FP partners on dashboard, performance management
- Provide TA to state CIP execution
- Conduct advocacy activities to government and religious groups
- Organize RH TWG/donor meetings of sub-committee

#### Most cited

- Existence of national and state FP data, policy documents, and tools
- Effective FMOH and SMOH leadership, and positive support from other FP stakeholders
- Pre-existing training package/tools and advocacy models.
- In-house capacity for advocacy activities

#### Other key facilitators

- Use of prominent high-respected celebrities in advocacy activities
- Availability of existing consultants

#### Most cited

- High government expectations but limited time availability from donors and FMOH officers
- Limited availability of trainers and resources to coordinate advocacy activities
- Limited availability of some routine data at state level
- Limited personnel resources in FMOH and SMOHs
- Time constraint in activity implementation
- Insecurity situation in some geographic locations

#### Other key barriers

- Other donor's unwillingness to share work plans
- Poor internet access
- Conflicting/competing priorities of IPs



#### Improved data (quality and access)

Desired changes

Increased FP funding from gov't and donors

Increased capacity at FMOH

Adequate resources and capacity

DRC: findings

**Barriers** 

**Facilitators** 

# Nigeria SSM findings: data collection and use

#### Main Activities



- Create and manage national dashboard
- Conduct and disseminate FP research and surveys (i.e., landscape of ASRH, FP market)

#### Most cited



- Availability and strong capacity of local staff to support IRB compliance and data collection
- Positive partnership and support from government leaders, state IRBs and local partners
- Strong technical support in data collection/management from headquarter staff
- Availability of database, tools and documents from partners
- Unique value of data on FP

#### Other key facilitators

- Flexibility in allocating funds based on emerging needs
- Good collaboration with security agencies

#### Most cited

- Delayed in IRB approvals due to the sensitive topic and strict requirements
- Decentralized database, inconsistence of data sources and outdated policy that guide the focus of data collection
- Limited resources to collect data in hard-to-reach regions and areas
- Low report/ coverage rate across the country
- Issues with security, especially in Northern region
   Other key barriers
- Limited pool of local research firms and consultants



#### Desired changes

Improved gov't regulations for data collection and ethical approval process

Increased in FP funding from gov't and donors

Centralized database for all data sources

Increased security in working environment

Improved data quality

Increased capacity for the research team, especially in northern region

# **Nigeria SSM findings: demand generation**



#### Main Activities



- Develop communication/ advocacy strategy
- Conduct media advocacy and community social mobilization activities

#### Most cited

- Pre-existing training materials, and advocacy and communication toolkits.
- Availability of theory information and data supporting the advocacy interventions
- In-house capability in implementation and creating a wide member network
- Positive relationships with media organizations and gatekeepers of communities

#### Other key facilitators

- Global leader position of the prime organization in advocacy work
- Use of celebrity management agencies to support advocacy activities

#### Most cited

- Challenges in programming on radio and TV, including limited FP plots/features, expensive airtime
- Changes in leadership of community associations and network organization

#### Other key barriers

- Limited availability and participation times of celebrities
- Difficult to recruit & manage social mobilizations in Kaduna and Oyo

#### Desired changes

Improved data (quality and access)

More advocacy campaigns targeting special populations

Increased FP funding from gov't and donors

Support from media houses and celebrities

Specialized training to providers on SRH services to overcome bias

#### Updated ASRH policy

**Barriers** 

**Facilitators** 

# Nigeria SSM findings: service delivery





DRC: finding

91



Nigeria

# **Cross-portfolio findings: facilitators**

When we look at program officer interviews and the system support mapping (SSM) findings, we find alignment on three out of seven facilitators most cited.

Facilitators most cited (across all activity areas)	Program Officer interviews	Grantees
New FMOH leadership	+	+
Strong engagement of stakeholders and other donors in scaling up of projects	+	+
Availability of data to inform programs/attract donors	+	+
Existence of national and state FP data, policy documents & tools	—	+
Strong local expertise/leadership	—	+
Strong evidence of previous program impact	—	+

# **Cross-portfolio findings: barriers**

When we look at program officer interviews and the system support mapping (SSM) findings, we find alignment on three out of nine barriers most cited.

Barriers n	nost cited (across all activit	ty areas) Program Offic interviews	Grantees
Economic crisis & se	curity issues	+	+
Limited timeframe to deliverables	produce high quantity and quant	uality of	+
Lack of coordination	among grantees	+	+
In-depth trust with ot	ner donors not yet developed	+	
High government exp from donors and FM	pectations but limited time av OH officers	ailability _	+
Limited support of he	alth care providers (bias & la	nck of time)	+
Limited availability of places) & IRB delays	certain data (routine/hard-to	-reach	+
Changes in key partner leadership		—	+
Low consumer awareness of Sayana® Press		_	+
Evaluation approach	DRC: findings	Nigeria: findings	Interactive timeline

94



Baseline observations held up against the Nigeria portfolio TOC critical assumptions. Future findings will allow for observations of change over time.

<b>Critical Assumptions</b>	Are expected changes happening? (Sentinel indicators)	How and why? (Bottom-up inquiry methods)
Advocacy efforts raise the visibility of FP nationally and at the state levels	<ul> <li>National increases in % share of FP in overall health budget</li> <li>All three target states have dedicated FP line items with Kaduna committing the most</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Facilitator: new FMOH leadership favorable to improved Enabling Environment</li> <li>Facilitator: existence of national &amp; state FP policy documents &amp; tools help raise visibility</li> </ul>
<i>Targeted support to FMOH/SMOH strengthens donor coordination and CIPs</i>	<ul> <li>Good progress on CIPs in multiple states</li> <li>(=) Lack of data/measures of donor coordination beyond meetings held (planned for special study)</li> </ul>	Barrier: High gov't expectations but limited time availability from donors and FMOH for grantees
<i>Strong measurement drives performance</i>	<ul> <li>(=) Lack of data/measures of data driving performance in gov't (planned for special study)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Facilitator: existence of national &amp; state FP data for grantees</li> <li>Facilitator: Strong evidence of previous program impact positive for designing models for expansion site</li> <li>Barrier: lack of some data at state level by advocacy grantees</li> </ul>

Note: For sentinel indicator results, the 🗹 indicates an overall positive trend, and the 🗵 indicates a negative trend and (=) indicates a neutral trend or missing data. For bottom-up inquiry, 🗹 is a facilitating factor and 🗵 is a barrier cited.

**Evaluation approach** 



<b>Critical Assumptions</b>	Are expected changes happening? (Sentinel indicators)	How and why? (Bottom-up inquiry methods)
Demand generation models result in large scale social norm change	<ul> <li>Intention to use FP increasing but still somewhat low in Kaduna &amp; moderate in Lagos</li> <li>(=) Lack of data on social norms</li> </ul>	Barrier: changes in partner/organizational leadership impact grantee implementation
<i>Service delivery models increase quality and access to services</i>	<ul> <li>Access to multiple methods is high in public sector facilities in Lagos, lower in Kaduna</li> <li>(=) Moderately large proportion of women and youth obtain methods from pharmacies. Higher in Lagos than Kaduna. Higher among youth.</li> <li>✓/ Stock outs modest but higher for implants and in Kaduna</li> <li>(=) Moderate level of quality of care at least as measured by counseling in Kaduna, weaker in Lagos</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Barrier: lack of coordination of grantees' agendas can lead to inefficiencies/conflicting priorities and gov't stakeholder fatigue</li> <li>Barrier: changes in partner/organizational leadership impact grantee implementation</li> <li>Barrier: limited support of health care providers for FP (bias &amp; lack of time) impacts service delivery models success</li> </ul>
Introduction of new methods generate new demand for services, especially among youth	<ul> <li>(=) Lack of representative data on Sayana® Press is a gap</li> <li>In a non-representative sample of users, we see uptake of SP are mostly past users of other methods (switchers) rather than new users particularly among youth</li> </ul>	Earrier: low consumer awareness of Sayana® Press impacts uptake

Note: For sentinel indicator results, the 🗹 indicates an overall positive trend, and the 🗵 indicates a negative trend and (=) indicates a neutral trend or missing data. For bottom-up inquiry, 🗹 is a facilitating factor and 🗵 is a barrier cited.

Evaluation approach



<b>Critical Assumptions</b>	Are expected changes happening? (Sentinel indicators)	How and why? (Bottom-up inquiry methods)
<i>Contributing to national conversation on FP enables successful adoption of models</i>	<ul> <li>Already some evidence of scale up of at least some elements of model interventions including by FMOH, SMOH, and UNFPA.</li> </ul>	Facilitator: strong engagement of stakeholders and other donors in scaling up of projects cited as a facilitating factor by both PO and grantees
Strong CIPs and donor coordination support model scale-up	States where scale up is happening tend to be states that are also making progress with CIPs	Barrier: lack of in-depth trust with other donors cited as a barrier to coordination & scale-up.
<i>High quality data influences scale-up decisions</i>	<ul> <li>(=) No explicit measures of this. However, cited in bottom-up inquiry</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Facilitator: availability of data to inform programs/attract donors cited by grantees and POs</li> <li>Facilitator: strong evidence of previous program impact</li> </ul>
Demonstration models seen as relevant and feasible models by other states	(=) No data/measures	(=) No data/measures
Model programs remain effective when scaled up by others in new contexts	<ul> <li>(=) Yet to be tested. Special Study 2 will focus on scale-up</li> </ul>	(=) No data/measures

Note: For sentinel indicator results, the 🗹 indicates an overall positive trend, and the 🗵 indicates a negative trend and (=) indicates a neutral trend or missing data. For bottom-up inquiry, 🗹 is a facilitating factor and 🗵 is a barrier cited.



# Interactive timeline

Beta version

### Interactive timeline: goals and targeted audiences

The interactive timeline is a flexible communications tool, allowing FP CAPE to visually portray major contextual changes, engage a variety of audiences, and synthesize high-level portfolio findings.



Layers program investments, contextual events, and family planning portfolio outcomes over time Visualizes these changes (or lack of change) to show FP momentum in the wider country context Will be used by FP CAPE staff for engaged grantee reflection, generating further portfolio-level insights Targeted audiences include:

- Grantees
- Policy stakeholders
- BMGF POs
- External audiences

# A web-based timeline tool is a creative format for communication and collaboration

*Tiki-Toki* is a web application that allows users to create eyecatching, interactive timelines which allow for exploration of events in a sequential format

#### Platform

Practitioners may present detailed and complex content by blending chronological mapping with multimedia (i.e., video, photo, 3D view and text)

#### *Features*





#### Usage

- The online tool is easy to use, and has potential to be used as an additional means to facilitate and monitor projects
- By connecting events visually, timelines create pathways for deeper analysis of a chronological story
- With its "collaborative editing" function, it can also be used as an online tool for content development and collaborative research among stakeholders

Evaluation approach

DRC: findings

# Nigeria FP timeline

appro years	line spans oximately four s of FP-related ts (2012–2016) geria (i.e., m	ee investment xtual/policy cł me data point nCPRs)	nanges	AND REAL PROVIDE AND REAL PROVIDANT REAL PROVIDANT REAL PROVIDANT REAL PROVIDE AND REAL PRO	Beds uCRT from USA State Contract of the State	The second secon
		F	view potential Policy stakeh investments an BMGF POs to emerging cont	ee their projects overlaps and an olders to get a nd support think about the extual factors a ences to use as	s in a national-level co reas for collaboration better sense of BMG and changing outcome s a tool for their FP	F's in relation to

TIKI-TOKI FAMILY PLANNING GRANTS IN NIGERIA

January 2015

### List of abbreviations

A360	Adolescent360	GFF	Global Financing Facility
ACQUAL	"Accès" et "Qualité"	JHU	Johns Hopkins University
AFP	Advance Family Planning	IUD	Intrauterine device
ASF	Association de Santé Familiale		
BMGF	Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation	KSPH	Kinshasa School of Public Health
CAFCO	The Cadre Permanent de Concertation des Femmes	LGA	Local government area
CAPCO		mCPR	Modern contraceptive prevalence rate
	Congolaises (or the Permanent Consultative	NURHI	Nigerian Urban Reproductive Health Initiative
000	Framework of Congolese Women)	PMA2020	Performance Monitoring and Accountability 2020
CBD	Community-based distributor	PMA2020 SDP Data	PMA2020 Service Delivery Point Data
CHAI	Clinton Health Access Initiative	PMA2020 WS Data	PMA2020 Women Survey Data
CHW	Community health worker	PNSR	Programme National la Santé de la Reproduction
CIP	Costed Implementation Plan	PO	Program Officer
CPC	Carolina Population Center	RH	Reproductive health
CPR	Contraceptive prevalence rate	RTNC	Congolese (DRC) National Radio and Television
CSM	Social marketing program	SDGs	Sustainable development goals
CTMP	Comité Technique Multisectoriel Permanent	SMOH	State Ministry of Health
DHS	Demographic and Health Survey	SSM	System support map
DKT	DKT International	TSU	Technical Support Unit
DPS	Division of Population Studies	TOC	Theory of change
DRC	The Democratic Republic of the Congo	UNC-CH	University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill
dRPC	Research at the Development Centre	UNFPA	United Nations Population Fund
FMOH	Federal Ministry of Health		
FP	Family planning		
FP CAPE	Family Planning Country Action Process Evaluation		

GEAS Global Early Adolescent Study